

Dynamics of a self gravitating light-like matter shell with spherical symmetry

Jerzy Kijowski¹ and Ewa Czuchry²

¹*Centrum Fizyki Teoretycznej PAN, Al. Lotników 32/46, 02-668 Warszawa, Poland*

²*Instytut Problemów Jądrowych, ul. Hoża 69, 00-681 Warszawa, Poland*

Complete hamiltonian description of the physical system composed of a null matter shell interacting with the gravitational field is provided. In spherically symmetric case, the phase space of the system is effectively reduced with respect to the Gauss-Codazzi constraints. The Hamiltonian of the system (numerically equal to the value of the ADM mass) is explicitly calculated in terms of the „true degrees of freedom”, i.e. as a function on the reduced phase space. Geometric interpretation of the momentum canonically conjugate to the shell’s radius is given. Transformation between different time parameterizations of the shell is discussed.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Fy, 04.40.-b, 04.60.Ds

Keywords: general relativity, variational principles, matter shells, relativistic shell dynamics

I. INTRODUCTION

Null matter shell may be considered as a singularity of the space-time geometry consisting of two different, smooth 4-geometries tailored together along a hypersurface S , where “tailoring” means that the (properly understood) induced geometry of S is continuous (cf. [1, 2]). Singularity arises because the four-dimensional connection coefficients $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$ may be discontinuous on S . Hence, the singular part of the Einstein curvature tensor density of such a spacetime contains derivatives of those discontinuities and may be nicely described in the sense of distributions as $\mathcal{G}_b^a = \mathbf{G}_b^a \delta_S$, where δ_S is a Dirac delta distribution concentrated on S . In paper [3] dynamics of the composed “null-matter-shell + gravity” system was derived from first principles, in both Hamiltonian and Lagrangian approach, and for a general null matter.

For this purpose an appropriate Lagrangian $L = L_{\text{grav}} + L_{\text{matter}}$ was used. For its gravitational part L_{grav} , the quantity $\frac{1}{16\pi} \sqrt{|g|} R$ was taken, with 4-dimensional curvature scalar $R = R_{\text{reg}} + R_{\text{sing}}$, where R_{reg} is the regular part of the scalar curvature and $\sqrt{|g|} R_{\text{sing}} = -\text{sing}(\mathcal{G}) = -\mathbf{G}^{\mu\nu} g_{\mu\nu} \delta_S$ is its singular part. (For calculational purposes, an adapted coordinate system may always be chosen such that $S := \{x^3 = \text{const.}\}$ and, consequently, we have $\delta_S = \delta(x^3)$.)

In case of a spherically symmetric shell this formulation leads to a simple Hamiltonian system. Complete hamiltonian description of a massive dust shell was proposed in [4] and [5]. Here, we are going to derive similar results for the case of a null shell. Similarly as was done in [4], spherical symmetry condition is imposed on the Hamiltonian level only. (On the other hand, one could also impose this symmetry already on the level of variational (Lagrangian) formulation, as was done in [5].) As a result, we obtain the Hamiltonian dynamics of the system described in terms of gauge-independent variables and their conjugate momenta.

Fundamental difference between the null and the massive case consists in the fact, that there are no massive shells without matter. On the other hand, gravitational

“shock waves”, i.e. null shells without any matter, are perfectly allowed by the theory. Nevertheless, our theory admits also null-like matter, which couples consistently to gravity. We have analyzed several models of a Lagrangian function describing null matter. In most cases they couple to an isolated horizon only, which is rather a trivial case. However, interesting and self-consistent models have been found (see Appendix A), which lead to non trivial dynamical systems.

The space of initial data of a “matter + gravity” system can be parameterized by the following space of functions:

$$\mathcal{P} := \{(g_{kl}, P^{kl}, z^K, p_K)\}.$$

To remind, g_{kl} is a three-dimensional metrics on a space-like Cauchy surface, and P^{kl} are appropriate ADM ([6]) momenta describing external curvature of this surface. Moreover, z^K describe configuration variables of the matter and p_K , their conjugate momenta. We limit ourselves to the topologically trivial case $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathbf{R}^3$ and assume that the geometry of $\mathcal{C}$ is asymptotically flat in infinity.

The following phase space is equipped with the canonical pre-symplectic structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega := & \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \{ \delta P^{kl}(x) \wedge \delta g_{kl}(x) \} d^3x \\ & + \int_{\mathcal{C}} (\delta p_K \wedge \delta z^K) d^3x. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

Assuming that the matter is concentrated on the shell S exclusively, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega := & \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \{ \delta P^{kl}(x) \wedge \delta g_{kl}(x) \} d^3x \\ & + \int_{\mathcal{C} \cap S} (\delta p_K \wedge \delta z^K) d^2x. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

The structure of the paper is following. In Section II we analyze the above pre-symplectic form and reduce it with respect to both the spherical symmetry and the constraint equations fulfilled by ADM data. It turns out that the radial component of constraint equations gives us an

“equation of state”, which has been previously postulated in paper [7]. Exact solution of Gauss-Codazzi constraint equations are obtained in Section III. Using these results, both the gravitational part (Section IV A) and the matter part (Section IV C) of the symplectic form is reduced. Then, an exact form of the Hamiltonian of the system is derived, which encodes its equations of motion. These equations are solved with respect of the Schwarzschild time in Section V. Then we perform transition from Schwarzschild to Minkowski time (Section VI), and solve resulting equations of motion. In Appendix A we discuss different models for the null matter.

This paper is a continuation of a serie of papers [3] and [8] Most of the results described here have been included in the Ph.D. thesis [9] of one of us (E.C.).

II. SPHERICAL SYMMETRY

Spherical symmetry of the system implies the existence of spherical coordinates $(x^1, x^2, x^3) = (\theta, \varphi, r)$ on the Cauchy surface $\mathcal{C}$, such that initial data $(g_{kl}, P^{kl}, z^K, p_K)$ are invariant with respect to rotations. Moreover, we may choose the radial coordinate in such a way that it is constant on the shell (i.e the history of the shell is given by equation $r = x^3 \equiv \zeta = \text{const}$). This is *not* a physical assumption, but merely a choice of a gauge, as legal as any other gauge fixing condition (e.g. conditions used in papers [4, 10, 11]). It simplifies considerably our calculations.

Another gauge condition, which we use in this paper is the continuity of all the 10 components of the metric. In many approaches only the geometry of the shell is supposed to be continuous across the shell. Our condition is stronger. Again, this is *not* a physical restriction imposed on the theory but merely a gauge fixing condition which allows us to use theory of distributions to calculate the (singular!) curvature tensor. In particular, our condition implies equivalence of the affine structure of null geodesics on the shell, as seen from the external and the internal geometry. Without our gauge condition, this equivalence must be imposed separately (see [5] for further discussion of the role of this condition).

Due to spherical symmetry, the two parts of the geometry, which we taylor together through the shell, must be Schwarzschild. Moreover, due to topological triviality of the Cauchy surface $\mathcal{C}$, the internal part must be Minkowski.

We assume that asymptotically, for $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $r \rightarrow 0$, the radial coordinate is “asymptotically flat”, i.e. equals to the Schwarzschild radius outside and the Minkowski radius inside the shell.

The three-dimensional metrics on $\mathcal{C}$ must, therefore,

be of the following form:

$$g_{kl} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} l(r) \gamma_{AB} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & n^2(r) \end{array} \right], \quad (2.1)$$

where l and n are functions of radial coordinate r , and γ_{AB} (where $A, B = 1, 2$ label angular coordinates) denotes standard metrics on the unit sphere:

$$\gamma_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

Spherical symmetry implies also that the components P^{kl} of ADM momenta assume the following form

$$\begin{aligned} P^{AB} &= \frac{1}{2} u(r) \gamma^{AB} \sqrt{\det \gamma}, \\ P^{33} &= \frac{f(r)}{n(r)} \sqrt{\det \gamma}, \\ P^{3A} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where u and f are again functions of the radial coordinate r . These functions are piecewise smooth outside of the surface of the sphere given by equation $r = \zeta$, whereas the metric coefficients n and l are supposed to be also continuous at $r = \zeta$.

Einstein equations imply constraints which must be satisfied by the above data, namely the Gauss-Codazzi equations for the components $\mathcal{G}^0_\mu$ of the Einstein tensor density. Standard decomposition of $\mathcal{G}^0_\mu$ into the spatial (tangent to V_t) part and the time-like (normal to V_t) part gives us respectively:

$$\mathcal{G}^0_l = -P_l^k{}_{|k}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$2\mathcal{G}^0_\mu n^\mu = -sg \frac{(3)}{R} + s \left(P^{kl} P_{kl} - \frac{1}{2} P^2 \right) \frac{1}{g}, \quad (2.5)$$

where n is the future orthonormal vector to Cauchy surface V_t and s is a sign of g^{30} . In paper [12] (see also [3]) we have shown how to decompose these equations into the regular and the singular (proportional to Dirac delta distribution on S_t) parts. The regular part of the vector constraint reads:

$$\text{reg} (P_l^k{}_{|k}) = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

whereas the regular part of the scalar constraint reduces to:

$$\text{reg} \left(g \frac{(3)}{R} - \left(P^{kl} P_{kl} - \frac{1}{2} P^2 \right) \frac{1}{g} \right) = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

The singular part of divergence of the ADM momentum P_{kl} contains derivatives in the direction of x^3 :

$$\text{sing}(P_l^k{}_{|k}) = \text{sing}(\partial_3 P_l^3) = \delta(x^3) [P_l^3],$$

so the right-hand-side of (2.4) has the form

$$P_l^k|_k = [P_l^3]\delta(x^3) . \quad (2.8)$$

where the bracket denotes the jump of P_l^3 between the two sides of the singular surface. In paper [3] we have proved that components P^{kl} are regular, whereas the singular part of the three-dimensional curvature scalar reduces to the jump of the external curvature k of the two-dimensional surface $S_t \subset V_t$ across the shell:

$$\text{sing}(g^{(3)} R) = 2g\sqrt{\tilde{g}^{33}}[k]\delta(x^3) = 2\sqrt{\gamma l}[k]\delta(x^3) ,$$

Hence, the right-hand-side of (2.5) has the form:

$$sg^{(3)} R - s \left(P^{kl} P_{kl} - \frac{1}{2} P^2 \right) \frac{1}{g} = 2\sqrt{\gamma l}[k]\delta(x^3) . \quad (2.9)$$

Due to Einstein equations, these objects must match the matter energy-momentum tensor. *A priori*, there are serious difficulties concerning definition of such an object in case of a matter living exclusively on the null surface S . We have shown in paper [3] that such a quantity may be consistently defined. It is a three-dimensional *tensor-density* denoted by τ^a_b (one three-dimensional index $a = 0, 1, 2$ up and one index down!). We stress that, due to the degeneracy ($\sqrt{\det g_{ab}} = 0$) of the metric tensor g_{ab} on S , it is impossible to find any *tensor* representation of this quantity. Also the, otherwise trivial, "rising of indices" is forbidden, whereas the "lowering" becomes a non-invertible (loosing information) procedure!

Naively, one could expect Gauss-Coddazzi constraints in the form:

$$\mathcal{G}^0_\mu = 8\pi\tau^0_\mu .$$

We stress, however, that there is no way to define the right-hand side as components of any well defined four-dimensional object! In our notation, where x^3 is constant on S , only τ^0_b makes sense! Fortunately, we have shown in [3] (see also [12]) that, due to the null character of S , the singular part of constraint equations contains only three conditions. Indeed, the orthogonal (to S) part of the constraint equation coincides with one of the tangent parts of these equations, namely the component along the null vector on S . (The fourth constraint, existing in a non-degenerate case, is replaced here by the degeneracy condition $\det g_{ab}$ for the metric on S .) The tangent (to S) part of $\mathcal{G}^0_b$ splits into the two-dimensional part tangent to S_t and the transversal part (along null rays). The first one gives us:

$$[P^3_B] = -8\pi\tau^0_B . \quad (2.10)$$

In spherically symmetric case both left- and right-hand-side must vanish and the above constraint is fulfilled automatically. The remaining null tangent part of Einstein equations reduces, as we have shown in [3], to the following constraint:

$$\left[\frac{P^{33}}{\sqrt{\tilde{g}^{33}}} + \sqrt{\det g_{AB}} k \right] = 0 . \quad (2.11)$$

In our notation it can be rewritten in the form, which has been postulated in paper [7]:

$$-s \frac{p}{n} + e = 0 , \quad (2.12)$$

where we have denoted:

$$-p := \frac{1}{8\pi} \text{sing} (P^{33}|_3) = \frac{1}{8\pi} [P^{33}] , \quad (2.13)$$

$$e := \frac{1}{16\pi} \text{sing} \left(g^{(3)} R - (P^{kl} P_{kl} - \frac{1}{2} P^2) \frac{1}{g} \right) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \sqrt{\gamma l} [k] . \quad (2.14)$$

The "equation of state" (2.12) is a consequence of "nullness" of matter and does not come from its internal properties.

Equations (2.10), (2.11), together with (2.6) and (2.7), provide the complete set of constraints fulfilled by the initial data $(l(r), n(r), u(r), f(r))$ of the theory.

To reduce the phase space with respect to these constraint we will proceed as in a paper [4], but with some modification. Equation (2.13), together with the vector constraint (2.6), can be written in terms of momenta (2.3):

$$-8\pi s \frac{p}{n} \Delta(r - \zeta) = f' - \frac{1}{2} \frac{u}{n} l' , \quad (2.15)$$

where prime „ $'$ ” denotes the radial derivative $\partial/\partial r$ and Δ is the one-dimensional Dirac delta. Equation (2.14), together with (2.7), may be rewritten in an analogous way:

$$-8\pi e(p, l, n) \Delta(r - \zeta) = \left(\frac{l'}{n} \right)' - n - \frac{1}{4} \frac{(l')^2}{ln} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{n}{l} f^2 - \frac{1}{2} f u . \quad (2.16)$$

The above constraints generate two dimensional group of space-time reparameterizations, where variables (t, r) may be replaced by any other arbitrary variables $(\tilde{t}, \tilde{r})$, preserving spherical symmetry of our system. Gauge transformations enter as degeneracy directions of symplectic structure $\mathcal{P}_{sym}$, obtained by restriction of the form Ω from $\mathcal{P}$ to the space of spherically symmetric data which we denote by $\mathcal{P}_{sym}$. In order to calculate this restriction let us consider equation (1.2) in case our specific case and integrate over angular momenta. Hence we obtain the following symmetric structure in $\mathcal{P}_{sym}$:

$$\Omega = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{4} \delta u(r) \wedge \delta l(r) + \frac{1}{2} \delta f(r) \wedge \delta n(r) \right) dr + 4\pi \delta(P_K l(\zeta)) \wedge \delta z^K . \quad (2.17)$$

where we denote: $p_K =: \sqrt{\det g_{AB}} P_K$. Moreover, for purely calculational reasons it is convenient to write 2-form Ω as an exterior derivative $\Omega = \delta\Theta$ of the following 1-form:

$$\Theta = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{4} u(r) \delta l(r) - \frac{1}{2} n(r) \delta f(r) \right) dr + 4\pi l(\zeta) P_K \delta z^K . \quad (2.18)$$

As already mentioned, Cauchy surface $\mathcal{C}$ is tailored from a piece a 3-surface in the flat Minkowski spacetime for $r < \zeta$ and a piece of a 3-surface in the Schwarzschild space-time for $r > 0$.

III. SOLUTION OF CONSTRAINT EQUATIONS

In order to solve constraint equation we have to impose gauge condition which enables us to fix uniquely the time coordinate. For purely technical reasons we start with the family of β -gauge conditions, used for purposes of the proof of positivity of the ADM mass in paper [13]. The condition reads: $\beta P^{33}g_{33} + P^{AB}g_{AB} = 0$, where β is a fixed constant. This means, in terms of our variables:

$$\frac{u}{n} = -\beta \frac{f}{l}. \quad (3.1)$$

Assume that $\beta < -1$. Inserting the above relation to the vector constraint (2.15) we see that, outside the shell, our initial data must fulfill the following equation

$$f' + \frac{1}{2}\beta \frac{l'}{l} f = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

This implies that the function $\log(f l^{\frac{\beta}{2}})$ is constant everywhere outside of the shell. Hence we have:

$$f = \begin{cases} A_+ l^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} & \text{dla } r > \zeta, \\ A_- l^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} & \text{dla } r < \zeta. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

The jump $(A_+ - A_-)$ is determined by the constraint equation (2.15) – i.e. the only singular term on the right hand side of that equation is produced by the jump of f and is equal to $(A_+ - A_-)(l(\zeta))^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}\Delta(r - \zeta)$. Denote *physical* radius of the shell by

$$R := \sqrt{l(\zeta)},$$

and normalized momentum radial component by U :

$$U := \frac{4\pi s p}{n(\zeta)\sqrt{l(\zeta)}} = \frac{4\pi s p}{n(\zeta)R}. \quad (3.4)$$

Equation (2.15) implies:

$$A_+ - A_- = -2UR^{1+\beta}. \quad (3.5)$$

To solve completely constraint equation, the boundary conditions must be imposed, i.e. for $r \rightarrow \infty$ initial data must be asymptotically flat, and for $r \rightarrow 0$ must be regular. This implies that l must behave like r^2 both at zero and at infinity, whereas f must vanish at infinity. Consequently, for $\beta < -1$, we obtain $A_+ = 0$:

$$f = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } r > \zeta, \\ 2UR^{1+\beta}l^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} & \text{for } r < \zeta. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Now we are going solve the scalar constraint equation (2.16). Using the gauge condition (3.1) and the vector constraint (3.3), we obtain the following equation, which is valid outside and inside of the shell:

$$0 = \left(\frac{l'}{n}\right)' - n - \frac{1}{4} \frac{(l')^2}{ln} + \frac{1+2\beta}{4l^{1+\beta}} n A_{\pm}^2. \quad (3.7)$$

Consider the following quantity:

$$k := \frac{l'}{n\sqrt[4]{l}}. \quad (3.8)$$

We see that (3.7) may be rewritten as follows

$$0 = \frac{\sqrt[4]{l}}{n} k' - 1 + \frac{1+2\beta}{4l^{1+\beta}} A_{\pm}^2, \quad (3.9)$$

or, equivalently,

$$0 = \left\{ k^2 - 4\sqrt{l} \left(1 + \frac{A_{\pm}^2}{4l^{1+\beta}} \right) \right\}'. \quad (3.10)$$

This means that the function in brackets must be piecewise constant. Regularity of the metric at $r = 0$ implies that for small r the function l shall behave like $n^2 r^2$. This implies that k^2 behaves like $4\sqrt{l}$ and, consequently, the corresponding *internal* constant vanishes. Denoting the remaining *external* constant by „ $-8H$ “, we have, due to $A_+ = 0$:

$$\frac{l'}{n} = \begin{cases} \pm 2\sqrt{l} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2H}{\sqrt{l}}} & \text{dla } r > \zeta, \\ 2\sqrt{l} \sqrt{1 + \frac{A_-^2}{4l^{1+\beta}}} & \text{dla } r < \zeta, \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

where the sign $\pm$ appearing in the first line depends upon the sign of l' . Outside of the shell this sign may change at points where expression under the square root vanishes. On the contrary, inside the shell this sign is always positive, because for a space-like hypersurface in Minkowski's space-time l is always increasing.

The value of H is equal to the ADM energy calculated at $r \rightarrow \infty$. This is implied by the fact that, for large values of r , we have $l(r) \sim r^2$. Consequently, due to (3.11), we obtain:

$$g_{33} = n^2 \sim \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2H}{r}}. \quad (3.12)$$

We expect that the ADM mass H will play role of the Hamiltonian of the total “gravity + matter” system (cf. [14, 15]). Numerical value of H may be obtained from the singular part of equation (2.16). Since the singular part of the right hand side of this equation is equal to the jump $\frac{l'}{n}$, we obtain:

$$-8\pi e = 2R \left(\epsilon \sqrt{1 - \frac{2H}{R}} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{A_-^2}{4R^{2+2\beta}}} \right), \quad (3.13)$$

where ϵ denotes the sign of l' outside the shell. Now we insert the value of A_- calculated from (3.5) (where

$A_+ = 0$), our "equation of state" (2.12) and the value of p calculated from (3.4). This way we obtain:

$$-U = \epsilon \sqrt{1 - \frac{2H}{R}} - \sqrt{1 + U^2}, \quad (3.14)$$

and, consequently:

$$H(R, U) = \frac{R}{2} \left\{ 1 - \left(\sqrt{1 + U^2} - U \right)^2 \right\}. \quad (3.15)$$

The value of ϵ can be obtained from equation (3.14):

$$\epsilon = \text{sgn} \left(\sqrt{1 + U^2} - U \right) = 1. \quad (3.16)$$

In the next Section we prove that the reduced phase space for gravitational degrees of freedom $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ may be parameterized globally by the two variables R and U , whereas the function l and constants ζ , β play role of gauge parameters. Given the point (R, U) of this space, the specific choice of these parameters allows us to reconstruct the entire Cauchy data, with of $A_\pm$ and H given uniquely by equations (3.6) and (3.15). However, gauge parameters are not completely arbitrary. One condition is obvious: $l(\zeta) = R^2$. Moreover, inside the shell, l must increase monotonically from 0 to R^2 . Because the sign " ϵ ", given by (3.16), is positive, outside of the shell l must increase and, due to asymptotical flatness, must behave like r^2 at infinity. Any function l is allowed provided it fulfills these conditions. Equations (3.11), (3.6) and (3.1) enable us to reconstruct completely the data (n, l, f, u) . Within our gauge subspace, given by condition (3.1), all states may be obtained this way. In the sequel we show that the states of the system obtained for other values of ζ and l , are equivalent up to a gauge transformation.

For negative values of β equation (3.6) implies that the entire external curvature vanishes outside of the shell: $P^{kl} = 0$. Due to the fact that the external portion of space-time is Schwarzschild with mass H , the only surfaces satisfying this condition are standard surfaces $\{t = \text{const}\}$. Cauchy surfaces corresponding to different negative values of β coincide, therefore, outside of the shell and differ only inside the shell.

IV. CANONICAL STRUCTURE OF THE REDUCED PHASE SPACE

The dynamics of our system will be given uniquely by Hamiltonian (3.15), if only we know reduced symplectic structure expressed in terms of gravitational variables (R, U) and, possibly, additional matter variables.

It is possible that there is no matter at all, and matter Lagrangian is equal to zero. Yet, the space-time may still exhibit singularity and our "null shell" becomes a shock wave of pure gravitation. Phase space is then two-dimensional and symplectic form consists of gravitational part only. Its construction is given below.

A. Reduction of gravitational part of the symplectic form

We restrict gravitational part of the symplectic form Ω given by (2.17), to the gauge space (3.1) and express it in terms of parameters (R, U, l, ζ) . It turns out that this form does not depend upon l and ζ . This proves that the latter are, indeed, gauge variables. For technical reasons it is easier to work with the 1-form Θ given by equation (2.18), because the restriction to the gauge space commutes with exterior derivative of the form.

Formula (2.18) may be rewritten as follows:

$$\Theta = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{4} n \left(\frac{u}{n} + \beta \frac{f}{l} \right) \delta l - \frac{1}{2} n l^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \delta \left(f l^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \right) \right) + 4\pi R^2 P_K \delta z^K. \quad (4.1)$$

Due to gauge condition (3.1), the first term in the above formula vanishes. From the equation (3.6) we have:

$$f l^{\frac{\beta}{2}} = 2UR^{1+\beta} B(\zeta - r), \quad (4.2)$$

where B denotes the Heaviside function. Then

$$\left\{ \delta \left(f l^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \right) \right\} (r) = 2B(\zeta - r) \delta(UR^{1+\beta}), \quad (4.3)$$

and, consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty n l^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \left\{ \delta \left(f l^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \right) \right\} (r) dr \\ &= - \left\{ \int_0^\zeta \left(n l^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \right) (r) dr \right\} \delta(UR^{1+\beta}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

because of the condition $l(\zeta) = R^2$ and due to vanishing of f outside of the shell. Finally we obtain

$$\Theta = -w \delta(UR^{1+\beta}) + 4\pi R^2 P_K \delta z^K, \quad (4.5)$$

where w denotes

$$w := \int_0^\zeta \left(n l^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \right) (r) dr. \quad (4.6)$$

Using equation (3.11) we obtain:

$$w = \int_0^\zeta \frac{n}{l'} l^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} l' dr = \int_0^\zeta \frac{l^{-\frac{1+\beta}{2}}}{2 \sqrt{1 + \left\{ U \left(\frac{\sqrt{l}}{R} \right)^{-(1+\beta)} \right\}^2}} l' dr. \quad (4.7)$$

Because l is a monotonic function in interval $[0, \zeta]$ and $-(1+\beta) > 0$, we may calculate the integral with respect to the following variable:

$$\xi := U \left(\frac{\sqrt{l}}{R} \right)^{-(1+\beta)}, \quad (4.8)$$

over the interval $[0, U]$. Hence

$$w = -\frac{1}{1+\beta} (UR^{1+\beta})^{\frac{2}{1+\beta}-1} \int_0^U \frac{\xi^{-\frac{2}{1+\beta}}}{\sqrt{1+\xi^2}} d\xi. \quad (4.9)$$

The last integral may be denoted as $F(U)$, where F is the indefinite integral. It turns out that the specific form of F will not be needed. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1+\beta} F(U) (UR^{1+\beta})^{\frac{2}{1+\beta}-1} \delta(UR^{1+\beta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} F(U) \delta \left(U^{\frac{2}{1+\beta}} R^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \delta \left(F(U) U^{\frac{2}{1+\beta}} R^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} R^2 U^{\frac{2}{1+\beta}} F'(U) \delta(U) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \delta \left(F(U) U^{\frac{2}{1+\beta}} R^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} R^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+U^2}} \delta(U) \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

The first term in the above formula is a complete (variational) derivative. Hence, it vanishes under the exterior derivative, when we calculate the symplectic form: $\Omega = \delta\Theta$. The second term alone gives us, therefore, an equally good primitive form $\tilde{\Theta}$ for Ω : $\delta\Theta = \delta\tilde{\Theta} = \Omega$. Taking into account that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+U^2}} \delta(U) = \delta \operatorname{arsinh} U,$$

we obtain the following formula:

$$\tilde{\Theta} = -\frac{1}{2} \rho \delta\mu + 4\pi\rho P_K \delta z^K, \quad (4.11)$$

where by μ we denote the momentum canonically conjugate to the variable $\rho := R^2$:

$$\mu := \operatorname{ar} \sinh U \quad (4.12)$$

Consequently, we have:

$$\Omega = \delta\tilde{\Theta} = \frac{1}{2} \delta\mu \wedge \delta\rho + 4\pi\delta(\rho P_K) \wedge \delta z^K. \quad (4.13)$$

Finally the Hamiltonian (3.15) may also be expressed in terms of variables (μ, ρ) :

$$H(\mu, \rho) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\rho} (1 - e^{-2\mu}). \quad (4.14)$$

For the shock wave, when matter degrees of freedom vanish from the very beginning, the symplectic form is of the following form

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \delta\mu \wedge \delta\rho, \quad (4.15)$$

and Hamiltonian is given by formula (4.14), with μ and ρ being now canonical variables.

B. Geometric interpretation of the momentum μ

We are going to prove that the quantity μ may be interpreted as an hyperbolic angle between the vector normal to external Schwarzschild surfaces $\{t_{\text{Schw}} = \text{const.}\}$ and the vector normal to internal Minkowski surfaces $\{t_{\text{Mink}} = \text{const.}\}$. The angle $\alpha(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ between two normalized vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ is defined by their (hyperbolic) scalar product:

$$\cosh \alpha(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) := (\mathbf{u} | \mathbf{v}). \quad (4.16)$$

Similarly as in Euclidean geometry, we call this quantity the *angle between two surfaces*: the Schwarzschild one and the Minkowski one. To prove this interpretation of μ it is sufficient to use the formula (3.11). On the internal side of the shell we use the second part of the formula and put $l = R^2$. We then obtain

$$\frac{l'}{2\sqrt{ln}} = \sqrt{1+U^2}. \quad (4.17)$$

But inside of the shell the geometry of $\mathcal{C}$ is given by a three-dimensional spherically symmetric surface in the Minkowski space. It is a matter of a straightforward calculations to prove, that in Minkowski space-time the quantity on the left hand side of the above equation is equal to $\cosh \alpha$, where α is precisely the angle between such a subspace and the Minkowski flat surface $\{t_{\text{Mink}} = \text{const.}\}$. This implies that $U = \sinh \alpha$. But our surface is a smooth extension of the external side of Schwarzschild space $\{t_{\text{Schw}} = \text{const.}\}$. This finally proves that $\mu = \alpha$ is the angle between leaves of three-dimensional foliations of Schwarzschild and Minkowski spaces.

C. Reduction of material part of the symplectic form

Let us first consider a simple non-trivial Lagrangian of the Dirac type in spinor theory (see Appendix B):

$$L = \sqrt{\det g_{AB}} (z^2 \dot{z}^1 - z^1 \dot{z}^2).$$

It implies *second type* constraints:

$$P_1 = z^2, \quad P_2 = -z^1,$$

that inserted into symplectic form (4.13) reduces it to the following form:

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \delta\mu \wedge \delta\rho + 8\pi\delta(\sqrt{\rho} z^2) \wedge \delta(\sqrt{\rho} z^1). \quad (4.18)$$

Moreover, denoting

$$p := \sqrt{8\pi\rho} z^2, \quad (4.19)$$

$$q := \sqrt{8\pi\rho} z^1, \quad (4.20)$$

leads to the following symplectic structure

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \delta\mu \wedge \delta\rho + \delta p \wedge \delta q, \quad (4.21)$$

in a four-dimensional phase space parameterized by variables: (p, q, μ, ρ) . Because Hamiltonian (4.14) does not depend on the first pair of variables, hence their evolution is trivial: they remain constant in time. And evolution equations for "geometric variables" (μ, ρ) generated by Hamiltonian (4.14) are of the following form:

$$\dot{\rho} = 2\sqrt{\rho}e^{-2\mu}, \quad (4.22)$$

$$\dot{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}} \{1 - e^{-2\mu}\}, \quad (4.23)$$

the same as in the case of a gravitational shock wave, described in the previous section.

It turns out that a similar reduction is valid for a generic of Lagrangian dependent on two degrees of freedom (Eq. (A2)), but, typically, the momentum μ canonically conjugated to ρ shall be modified by a function $\sigma(p, q)$, giving the new momentum $\nu := \mu - \sigma(p, q)$. Consequently, the Hamiltonian (4.14) depends upon variables p and q via $\mu = \nu + \sigma(p, q)$ and the dynamics of the material variables is no longer trivial. We can see that after performing reduction of material part of symplectic form (4.13) for two degrees of freedom $K = 1, 2$, it has the following form

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \delta\mu \wedge \delta\rho + 4\pi\delta(\rho P_K) \wedge \delta z^K, \quad (4.24)$$

From Eq. (A2) we have that:

$$P_K = F_K(z^1, z^2), \quad (4.25)$$

hence

$$\Omega = 4\pi\delta\rho \wedge F_K \delta z^K + 4\pi\rho F_{K,L} \delta z^L \wedge \delta z^K + \frac{1}{2} \delta\mu \wedge \delta\rho. \quad (4.26)$$

The assumption $dF \neq 0$ implies that $F_{2,1} - F_{1,2} \neq 0$. It is then either positive or negative, and the form $F_{K,L} \delta z^L \wedge \delta z^K$ is a symplectic form in two dimensions. Besides, Darboux theorem implies that there exists such a coordinate system $\xi = \xi(z^1, z^2)$ and $\eta = \eta(z^1, z^2)$, in which

$$F_{K,L} \delta z^L \wedge \delta z^K = \delta(F_K \delta z^K) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \delta(\xi \delta\eta - \eta \delta\xi). \quad (4.27)$$

Therefore

$$F_K \delta z^K = \frac{1}{8\pi} (\xi \delta\eta - \eta \delta\xi) + \frac{1}{8\pi} \delta\sigma, \quad (4.28)$$

where $\zeta = \sigma(\xi, \eta)$ is a function of variables (ξ, η) . Inserting the above two equations to the formula (4.26) we thus obtain Ω of the following form:

$$\Omega = \delta(\sqrt{\rho}\xi) \wedge \delta(\sqrt{\rho}\eta) + \frac{1}{2} \delta(\mu - \sigma) \wedge \delta\rho. \quad (4.29)$$

We also introduce new canonical variables

$$p := \sqrt{\rho} \xi, \quad (4.30)$$

$$q := \sqrt{\rho} \eta, \quad (4.31)$$

$$\nu := \mu - \sigma \quad (4.32)$$

in order to simplify the form Ω :

$$\Omega = \delta p \wedge \delta q + \frac{1}{2} \delta\nu \wedge \delta\rho. \quad (4.33)$$

Hamiltonian of the system, still equal to the same expression (4.14), can be expressed in term of new variables as follows:

$$H(\nu, \rho, p, q) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\rho} \left(1 - e^{-2(\nu + \sigma(\frac{p}{\sqrt{\rho}}, \frac{q}{\sqrt{\rho}}))} \right). \quad (4.34)$$

This is universal form of Hamiltonian for spherically symmetric self-gravitating shell of null matter coupled to two matter fields. Properties of any specific model of such a matter are uniquely implied by the function σ , dependent upon two material variables $(\frac{p}{\sqrt{\rho}}, \frac{q}{\sqrt{\rho}})$. This function is determined uniquely by the two functions F_K contained in the Lagrangian.

Example: Consider the following Lagrangian density (see Appendix B)

$$L = \sqrt{\det g_{AB}} ((z^2 + 2z^1 z^2) \dot{z}^1 + ((z^1)^2 - z^1) \dot{z}^2).$$

Rewriting this Lagrangian in new variables ξ and η such that $z^1 = \frac{1}{2}(\xi + \eta)$ and $z^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\xi - \eta)$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \sigma = (z^1)^2 z^2 = \frac{1}{8} (\xi + \eta)(\xi^2 - \eta^2).$$

The above equation expressed in terms of canonical variables $p = \sqrt{\rho}\xi = \sqrt{\rho}(z^1 + z^2)$, $q = \sqrt{\rho}\eta = \sqrt{\rho}(z^1 - z^2)$ and ρ takes the following form:

$$\frac{1}{2} \sigma = \frac{1}{8} \rho^{-3/2} (p + q)(p^2 - q^2).$$

Hamiltonian of the whole system is following

$$H(\nu, \rho, p, q) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\rho} \left(1 - e^{-2\mu - \frac{1}{2\rho^{3/2}}(p+q)(p^2-q^2)} \right).$$

V. DYNAMICS. RECONSTRUCTION OF SPACE-TIME GEOMETRY

Hamiltonian (4.34) uniquely generates dynamics of our system in the form of the following Hamilton equations

for canonical variables (ρ, ν) and (p, q) :

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{\rho} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \nu} = \sqrt{\rho}e^{-2(\nu+\sigma)}, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\dot{\nu} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \rho} = & -\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}(1 - e^{-2(\nu+\sigma)}) \\ & - \sqrt{\rho}e^{-2(\nu+\sigma)}\frac{\partial \sigma(\rho, p, q)}{\partial \rho}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

$$\dot{q} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} = \sqrt{\rho}e^{-2(\nu+\sigma)}\frac{\partial \sigma(\rho, p, q)}{\partial p}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q} = -\sqrt{\rho}e^{-2(\nu+\sigma)}\frac{\partial \sigma(\rho, p, q)}{\partial q}. \quad (5.4)$$

The first two equations can be written in term of $\mu = \nu + \sigma$ and ρ only. Hence the equation (5.1) takes universal form, identical to (4.22):

$$\dot{\rho} = 2\sqrt{\rho}e^{-2\mu}. \quad (5.5)$$

Combining it with (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4) leads to:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\sigma} = & -\frac{1}{\rho}e^{-2(\nu+\sigma)}\left(p\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial(\frac{p}{\sqrt{\rho}})} + q\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial(\frac{q}{\sqrt{\rho}})}\right) \\ = & 2\sqrt{\rho}e^{-2(\nu+\sigma)}\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Again, equations (5.2) and (5.6) imply the universal equation, identical to equation (4.23):

$$\dot{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}(1 - e^{-2\mu}). \quad (5.7)$$

We conclude that dynamics of “geometrical” variables (ρ, μ) does not depend upon the choice of the model of matter and in the case $\mu = \nu$ is identical to the one from Example. This dynamics is also identical to the case of gravitational shock wave in empty space-time, with no matter.

Once we know the dynamics of variables (ρ, μ) we can uniquely (up to the gauge) reconstruct the space-time, in which this dynamics is realized. Suppose that we have any explicit solution of equations (5.5) and (5.7). Choose some gauge $\beta < -1$ and, separately for each moment of time, gauge variables ζ and l . This enables us to reconstruct entirely the set of Cauchy data at each instant of time separately. To reconstruct the whole geometry of space-time we also need lapse and shift functions. For this purpose let us write Einstein equations in terms of canonical variables g_{kl} and P^{kl} . Because those objects are already known (as well as their time derivatives) in each moment of time, in result we obtain elliptic equations for the lapse and the shift. In this way we obtain for the lapse function the second order equation in variable r as a condition for preserving of the β -gauge in time. This equation has to be solved with the following boundary conditions: $N = 1$ at infinity and $\frac{dN}{dr} = 0$ at $r = 0$. In order to calculate the shift function we have to use the equation for time derivative of three-dimensional metric. This is the equation of the first order with respect to the shift function and enables us to reconstruct it uniquely.

A. Solution of Hamilton equations

From equations (5.5) and (5.7):

$$\dot{\rho} = 2\sqrt{\rho}e^{-2\mu}, \quad (5.8)$$

$$\dot{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}\{1 - e^{-2\mu}\}, \quad (5.9)$$

there follows

$$\frac{d\rho}{d\mu} = -4\rho\frac{e^{-2\mu}}{1 - e^{-2\mu}}. \quad (5.10)$$

Solution of the above equation is of the form

$$\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}} = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2\mu}}. \quad (5.11)$$

Therefore we have the equation for the time evolution of μ :

$$\dot{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_0}}(1 - e^{-2\mu})^2, \quad (5.12)$$

whose solution reads as

$$\log(e^{2\mu} - 1) - \frac{1}{e^{2\mu} - 1} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_0}}(t - t_0). \quad (5.13)$$

The constant ρ_0 may be expressed in terms of the whole energy of the system: $\sqrt{\rho_0} = 2E$. Solution of equation (5.8) for time evolution of ρ is following

$$\sqrt{\rho} - E \log \rho = t - t_0. \quad (5.14)$$

VI. TRANSITION FROM SCHWARZSCHILD TIME TO MINKOWSKI TIME

Equations (5.5) and (5.7) are the same as Hamilton equations for variables (μ, ρ) in case when $\sigma = 0$. Then $\nu = \mu$ and (μ, ρ) are canonical variables. Because of the identical form of dynamical equations, in further calculations we limit to this case.

Up to now, we have described the evolution of our system with respect to the Schwarzschild time, which coincides with the Minkowski time at spatial infinity. We can also describe our evolution with respect to any other time variable, corresponding to a different (3+1)-foliation of space-time. Leaves $S_t^{\mathcal{G}}$ of the new foliation does not have to coincide with previous hypersurfaces $S_t = \{t = \text{const.}\}$, but we assume that asymptotically (at space-infinity) they do.

Change of the time variable is not a standard transformation in classical mechanics. Here, we use an approach introduced by one of us in paper [11], based on the notion of a contact manifold.

Denote by v the retardation of the new time variable with respect to the previous one, calculated on the shell. This means that the hypersurface $S_t^{\mathcal{G}}$ intersects the shell

at Schwarzschild time $t + v(\rho, \mu)$. We assume that the value of this retardation depends upon the actual dynamical situation, i.e. upon position and momentum, but it does not depend explicitly upon the time variable. This means that the new gauge conditions are intrinsic, depending only on initial data. The function $v = v(\rho, \mu)$ contains the entire information about the transition between the old variables $(\rho(t), \mu(t))$ and the new ones $(\rho^G(t), \mu^G(t))$, because once we know $(\rho(t), \mu(t))$ we can solve equations generating dynamics of a system and put

$$\rho^G(t) := \rho(t + v(\rho(t), \mu(t))) , \quad (6.1)$$

$$\mu^G(t) := \mu(t + v(\rho(t), \mu(t))) . \quad (6.2)$$

For an arbitrary, not constant, function v , such a transformation is, in general, not canonical. We will show in the sequel how to specify the canonical structure of our reduced phase space in terms of these new variables. For that purpose it will be convenient to use the language of contact manifolds. Observe that the entire information about dynamics of a system may be retrieved from the three-dimensional contact space, defined as a surface $\{E = H(\rho, \mu)\}$ in the four-dimensional space $\{t, E, \rho, \mu\}$ equipped with the standard contact form:

$$\Psi := \frac{1}{2} \delta \mu \wedge \delta \rho - \delta E \wedge \delta t . \quad (6.3)$$

This symplectic form in four-dimensional phase-space becomes degenerate when restricted to the surface $\{E = H(\rho, \mu)\}$. Trajectories of the system are defined uniquely as those whose tangent vector belongs to this degeneracy. To prove this it is sufficient to parameterize our subspace in terms of three variables (t, ρ, μ) , and rewrite the form in the following way:

$$\Psi := \frac{1}{2} \delta \mu \wedge \delta \rho - \left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial \mu} \delta \mu + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \rho} \delta \rho \right) \wedge \delta t . \quad (6.4)$$

We see that the vector annihilating the above form must be proportional to the vector

$$Z := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \dot{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + \dot{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} , \quad (6.5)$$

where $\dot{\rho}$ and $\dot{\mu}$ are given by Hamilton equations (5.5) and (5.7).

In a paper [11] it was shown that the choice of new gauge condition $\mathcal{G}$ is equivalent to the choice of variable T

$$T := t - v \quad (6.6)$$

as new time variable. Let us rewrite, therefore, our symplectic form Ψ in terms of the new time T . It will be convenient to have energy E instead of μ as independent parameter and treat μ as a function $\mu = \mu(E, \rho)$ obtained

from solving equation (4.14). Therefore we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= \frac{1}{2} \delta \mu \wedge \delta \rho - \delta E \wedge \delta T - \delta E \wedge \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial E} \delta E + \frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho} \delta \rho \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta \mu - 2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho} \delta \rho \right) \wedge \delta \rho - \delta E \wedge \delta T . \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

Now define:

$$\begin{aligned} V(E, \rho) &:= 2 \int \frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}(E, \rho) d\rho + a(\rho) \\ &= \int \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial v}{\partial R}(E, R) d\rho + a(\rho) , \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

where $a(\rho)$ is arbitrary. Then, we have

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{2} \delta \tilde{\mu} \wedge \delta \rho - \delta E \wedge \delta T . \quad (6.9)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mu} := \mu - V(H(\rho, \mu), \rho) \quad (6.10)$$

plays role of the momentum canonically conjugate to $\rho^G(t)$. Differentiating (6.10) with respect to E provides

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial E}(\tilde{\mu} - \mu) = -2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial \rho}(E, \rho) = -\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial v}{\partial R}(E, R) . \quad (6.11)$$

It is interesting to notice (cf. [11]) that any choice of a function $\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu}(\mu, \rho)$ leads to a certain gauge condition, i.e. to the definition of a new time parameter on the shell, because we may always reconstruct the retardation function v from (6.11). As an example consider $\tilde{\mu} = Un(\zeta) = 4\pi p/R$ (see formula (3.4)), which corresponds to the Minkowski time calculated at the internal side of the shell. Indeed, in Minkowski spacetime the momentum canonically conjugate to the shell's position R is equal to the kinetic momentum p . Change of variables from R to ρ implies transformation of momenta, according to identity:

$$pdR = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p}{R} d\rho ,$$

which, finally, gives $\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mu} d\rho$ when integrated over the shell. We are going to show in the sequel, that the evolution defined this way corresponds, indeed, to Minkowski time. For this purpose we express the new momentum in terms of the old one using (4.12):

$$\tilde{\mu} = \sinh \mu \sqrt{1 - \frac{2E}{R}} = \sinh \mu e^{-\mu} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - e^{-2\mu}) , \quad (6.12)$$

where the energy E is equal to the value of the Hamiltonian H . But, from (4.14), we obtain:

$$\sqrt{1 - \frac{2E}{R}} = e^{-\mu} , \quad (6.13)$$

and, consequently,

$$\tilde{\mu} = \frac{E}{R}; \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{\mu}}{\partial E} = \frac{1}{R}. \quad (6.14)$$

On the other hand, we get from (6.13):

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial E} = \frac{1}{(R - 2E)}. \quad (6.15)$$

Thus, equation (6.11) implies:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial R} = \frac{2E}{R - 2E}. \quad (6.16)$$

To prove that the above quantity describes retardation between the Minkowski time T inside the shell and the extrinsic Schwarzschild time T , differentiate the equation (6.6) over t :

$$\dot{T} = 1 - \frac{\partial v}{\partial R} \dot{R}, \quad (6.17)$$

where we have used the energy conservation $\dot{E} \equiv 0$. Derivative $\dot{R}$ can be calculated from equation (5.5), and relation (6.13), leading to:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial R} \dot{R} = \frac{2E}{R} \quad (6.18)$$

and finally

$$\dot{T} = 1 - \frac{2E}{R}. \quad (6.19)$$

Integrating equation (6.16) we get retardation between the Minkowski time T and the Schwarzschild time t :

$$v(E, R) = 2E \log(R - 2E), \quad (6.20)$$

hence

$$T = t + 2E \log(R - 2E) = t + 2R\tilde{\mu} \log R(1 - 2\tilde{\mu}), \quad (6.21)$$

and $R > 2E$, otherwise μ would not be defined (Eq. 6.12). Hamiltonian may be expressed in terms of Minkowski variables $(\rho, \tilde{\mu})$:

$$H = \sqrt{\rho} \tilde{\mu} \quad (6.22)$$

and Hamilton equations take the form:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dT} \rho = \sqrt{\rho}, \quad (6.23)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dT} \tilde{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\tilde{\mu}}{\sqrt{\rho}}. \quad (6.24)$$

Using relations $\frac{d}{dT} = \frac{dt}{dT} \frac{d}{dt}$ and (6.21) between t and T we can reconstruct equations (5.8) and (5.9).

Dynamical equations (6.23) and (6.24) may be explicitly solved:

$$\sqrt{\rho} = T - T_0 + \sqrt{\rho_0}, \quad (6.25)$$

$$\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu}_0 \frac{\sqrt{\rho_0}}{T - T_0 + \sqrt{\rho_0}}. \quad (6.26)$$

Keeping in mind that $\sqrt{\rho} = R$, the above solution for R (linear propagation with velocity equal 1) is implied by the fact that the only null-like, spherically symmetric surfaces in Minkowski space are light-cones. Hence, the evolution cannot be global: it ends at the cone's vertex. Interesting case of the *global* (in Minkowski time) evolution is obtained when the two cones: the future oriented one and the past oriented one, cross each other. The theory of crossing shells was thoroughly analyzed in paper [16].

APPENDIX A: LAGRANGIAN FOR NULL MATTER

Because the shell metric g_{ab} , $a, b = 0, 1, 2$; is degenerate, we have *a priori* no standard scalar density on S which can be used in the definition of the Lagrangian. We must, therefore to manufacture such a density from the following ingredients: matter fields z^K and their derivatives $z_{,a}^K$ along shell, the degeneracy field X of g_{ab} on S and two-dimensional volume form $\lambda := \sqrt{\det g_{AB}}$ on each surface $\{x^0 = \text{const.}\}$. Choose the field X (otherwise given up to a multiplicative constant) in such a way that $\langle dx^0; X \rangle = 1$. Then, the following function: $L = \sum_K \lambda X^a z_{,a}^K$, ($K = 1 \dots n$), is a scalar density and may be taken as a matter Lagrangian. A more sophisticated example is given by $L = \lambda \left(X^a z_{,a}^K X^b z_{,b}^L \sigma_{KL}(z^A) \right)^\alpha (X^c \xi_{,c})^\beta$, where ξ is a scalar field, $2\alpha + \beta = 1$ and σ_{KL} is a metric tensor in the space of field values. We may even generalize those examples to products of them. However in case of spherical symmetry we have $X^a = \delta_0^a$ and all of them give the trivial Hamiltonian $H = 0$, what implies equation $\dot{\lambda} = 0$. The shell surface is, therefore, an isolated horizon (cf. [12], Eq. (6.2))

We are interested in examples of a null-matter, which may couple non-trivially to a generic null shell, not necessarily an isolated horizon. Such a nontrivial example can be obtained if one considers a thin shell of matter described by the Lagrangian density with at least two degrees of freedom, which depends not only on velocities, but also on the configuration variables. Consider the Lagrangian density of the type:

$$L = L(z^K; z_{,a}^K) = \lambda X^a z_{,a}^K F_K(z^L), \quad (A1)$$

where $K, L = 2 \dots n$, and F_K is a covector field defined on the space of material variables. We show in a sequel that this leads to a non-trivial model already for two degrees of freedom: $K, L = 1, 2$. In spherically symmetric case this Lagrangian takes the form:

$$L = \lambda \dot{z}^K F_K(z^L). \quad (A2)$$

Euler-Lagrange equations for this system read as

$$\dot{\lambda} F_1 = \lambda (F_{2,1} - F_{1,2}) \dot{z}^2, \quad (A3)$$

$$\dot{\lambda} F_2 = -\lambda (F_{2,1} - F_{1,2}) \dot{z}^1. \quad (A4)$$

If the quantity $(F_{2,1} - F_{1,2})$ is equal to zero, i.e. if F is a closed form: $dF = 0$, then $\dot{\lambda} = 0$, and matter described by this Lagrangian density couples again to an isolated horizon. Assume that $dF \neq 0$. Then the Euler-Lagrange equations imply the following constraints equations:

$$F_1 \dot{z}^1 + F_2 \dot{z}^2 = 0 .$$

□

APPENDIX B: EXAMPLES OF NON-TRIVIAL LAGRANGIANS

1. A simple example of Lagrangian of the type (A1) may be the following one:

$$L = \lambda(z^2 \dot{z}^1 - z^1 \dot{z}^2) , \quad (\text{B1})$$

whose structure resembles the Dirac Lagrangian for spinor fields. This Lagrangian implies the following constraints:

$$\lambda P_1 = p_1 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{z}^1} = \lambda z^2 \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$\lambda P_2 = p_2 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{z}^2} = -\lambda z^1 \quad (\text{B3})$$

These are *second type* constraints. Inserting them into the symplectic form (4.13) we obtain:

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \delta\mu \wedge \delta\rho + 8\pi\delta(\sqrt{\rho}z^2) \wedge \delta(\sqrt{\rho}z^1) . \quad (\text{B4})$$

Similarly as in spinor theory, one configuration variable (in electrodynamics it is the imaginary part of spinor in Majorana representation) becomes momentum canonically conjugated to the second variable (the real part in Majorana representation).

2. Another example:

$$L = \lambda((z^2 + 2z^1 z^2)\dot{z}^1 + ((z^1)^2 - z^1)\dot{z}^2) . \quad (\text{B5})$$

is used to reduce the symplectic form described in Section IV C.

-
- [1] C. Barrabés, W. Israel, *Thin shells in general relativity: The lightlike limit*, Phys. Rev. D **43** (1991) p. 1129–1142.
 - [2] P. Hájíček and I. Kouletsis, *Pair of Null Gravitating Shells I. Space of Solutions and Symmetry*, Class. Quantum Grav. **19** (2002) pp. 2529–2550;
P. Hájíček and I. Kouletsis, *Pair of Null Gravitating Shells II. Canonical theory and embedding variables*, Class. Quantum Grav. **19** (2002) pp. 2551–2566;
I. Kouletsis and P. Hájíček, *Pair of Null Gravitating Shells III. Algebra of Dirac Observables*, Class. Quantum Grav. **19** (2002) pp. 2567–2586;
P. Hájíček, *Quantum theory of gravitational collapse (lecture notes on quantum conchology)*, preprint BUTP-02/4, lecture notes for the talk “Quantum theory of gravitational collapse” given at the 271. WE-Heraeus-Seminar “Aspects of Quantum Gravity” at Bad Honnef, 25 February – 1 March 2002.
 - [3] J. Jezierski, J. Kijowski, E. Czuchry, *Dynamics of a self gravitating light-like matter shell: A gauge-invariant Lagrangian and Hamiltonian description*, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002), p. 064036.
 - [4] J. Kijowski, “True degrees of freedom” of a spherically symmetric, self-gravitating dust shell, Acta Phys. Polon. B **29** (1998) pp. 1001–1013.
 - [5] J. Kijowski, G. Magli, D. Malafarina, *A new derivation of the variational principle for the dynamics of a gravitating spherical shell*, Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) pp. 084017–1–11; *Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formulation of spherical shell dynamics* Int. Journ. Geometric Meth. in Modern Phys. **2** (2005) pp. 887–894
 - [6] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, C. Misner, *The dynamics of general relativity*, in: Gravitation: an introduction to current research, ed. L. Witten, p. 227 (Wiley, New York, 1962)
 - [7] J. Louko, B. F. Whiting, and J. L. Friedman, *Hamiltonian spacetime dynamics with a spherical null-dust shell*, Phys. Rev. D **57** (1998) p. 2279.
 - [8] E. Czuchry, J. Jezierski and J. Kijowski, *Dynamics of gravitational field within a wave front and thermodynamics of black holes*, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) p. 124010
 - [9] E. Czuchry, *Geometry of the light-front and its application to dynamics of gravitational field* (in Polish), Ph.D. thesis, University of Warsaw (2002).
 - [10] P. Hájíček, J. Kijowski, *Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalism for discontinuous fluid and gravitational field*, Phys. Rev. D **57** (1998) pp. 914–935.
 - [11] P. Hájíček, J. Kijowski, *Spherically symmetric dust shell and the time problem in Canonical Relativity*, Phys. Rev. D **62** (2000) pp. 044025–1–044025–5.
 - [12] J. Jezierski, J. Kijowski, E. Czuchry, *Geometry of null-like surfaces in General Relativity and its application to dynamics of gravitating matter*, Rep. Math. Phys. **46** (2000) p. 397 – 418.
 - [13] J. Jezierski, J. Kijowski, *Positivity of total energy in general relativity*, Phys. Rev. D **36** (1987) pp. 1041–1044.
 - [14] J. Kijowski, *A simple Derivation of Canonical Structure and quasi-local Hamiltonians in General Relativity*, Gen. Relat. Grav. Journal **29** (1997) p. 307 – 343.
 - [15] J. Kijowski, *Asymptotic degrees of freedom and gravitational energy*, in Proceedings of Journées Relativistes 1983, Pitagora Editrice, Bologna 1985, p.205 – 219; *Unconstrained degrees of freedom of gravitational field and the positivity of gravitational energy*, in Gravitation, Ge-

ometry and Relativistic Physics, Springer Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. **212** (1984) p. 40 – 50; *A simple Derivation of Canonical Structure and quasi-local Hamiltonians in General Relativity*, Gen. Relat. Grav. Journal **29**

- (1997) p. 307 – 343.
 [16] J. Jezierski, *Geometry of crossing null shells*, Journ. Math. Phys. **44** (2003) pp. 641–661;